

justice since there is a substantially similar and previously filed putative class action lawsuit already pending in the Central District Court entitled Mauricio Gonzalez v. OfficeMax North America, Inc., Case No.: SACV07-452JVS(MLGx). Thus, PLAINTIFFS do not oppose said Motion. DATED: June 18, 2007 KRUTCIK & GEORGGIN A. Nicholas Georggin, Esq. Steven D. Sperling, Esq. Attorneys for PLAINTIFF

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL (1013a, 2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 26021 Acero, Mission Viejo, California 92691.

On June 18 2007, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION BY PLAINTIFF, WILLIAM MINTER, JR. TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TRANSFER ACTION TO THE CENTRAL DISTRICT (SOUTHERN DIVISION) on the interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

William P. Kannow, Esq. PERKINS COIE LLP 1620 26th Street Sixth Floor, South Tower Santa Monica, CA 90404-4013 (310) 788-9900 (310) 788-3399 - FAX

Attorney for Defendants, OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED and OFFICEMAX NORTH AMERICAN, INC.

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Mission Viejo, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on June 18, 2007 at Mission Viejo California.

Kelly Dufourd